UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,956 05/01/2007		Thorsten Lohmar	P19248-US1	8416
27045 ERICSSON INC	MINER			
6300 LEGACY		RECEK, JASON D		
M/S EVR 1-C-1 PLANO, TX 75			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2442	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kara.coffman@ericsson.com jennifer.hardin@ericsson.com melissa.rhea@ericsson.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,956	LOHMAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JASON RECEK	2442		

non-allowable claim(s).			CHOCK TREGER	2772	
1. ☑ The repty was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandoment of this application, applicant must intelly file one of the following replice; (1) an amondment, affidux), or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repty must be filed within one of the following gibe part of the final rejection. □ The period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statutory period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: it box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MFEP 708.07(1). Extensions of lime may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee number 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, (2) as a ray reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. □ This Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the date of Notice of Appeal was been filed; any repty must be filed within the time period set filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (b) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise of the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c)		The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
application, applicant must timely life one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal eleo) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14. The reply must be filled within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY ASF ILLED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for THE FIRAL REJECTION. See IMPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled. See 1.13 (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	THE F	EPLY FILED <u>28 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
b)	a a f	application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1.5 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST RELLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37 (a). AMEXDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); The proposed after the later of the period of the prior than the period of the period of the period	a) [•		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	n.
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)	have bounder 3 set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the period of extending the scalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
3.	f I	iling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(d)	3. 🔲	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a) They raise new issues that would require further core b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			PTOL 224)
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				ompliant Amendment (F	10L-324).
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 22-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). //Douglas B Blair/	6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	 - 	now the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16 and 22-27</u> .		II be entered and an ex	planation of
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). /Douglas B Blair/					
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). Other:	! \	pecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is i	necessary and
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). Other: /Douglas B Blair/	(entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: /Douglas B Blair/		•	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
13. Other: /Douglas B Blair/	11. 🛛		t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	e because:
			(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
				Jnit 2442	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts the determining and selection as claimed is not performed by Byers (pg. 7-8). This is not persuasive. This argument was previously presented and responded to in the office action dated 4/28/11. Thus it is not persuasive for similar reasons. Mainly, Byers explicitly discloses a selection process (paragraph 43, Fig. 3). As further explained by Byers (paragraph 43), the client is merely the user interface for a client server, thus if the client server performs the selection as suggested by applicant this is equivalent to the client performing the step as recited by the claims. Applicant's additional arguments seem to suggest the combination of Tatsumi and Byers does not teach the claimed limitation because neither reference individual discloses the features. This is not persuasive. As previously discussed in the prior office action, Tatsumi teaches the post-processing and Byers teaches the selection of an available proxy server. Thus, the combination teaches the claimed limitations. The remarks (pg. 11) regarding claim 6 have been reviewed but are not persuasive because they are a mere assertion that the references are not compatible. It is respectfully submitted a system that implements a proxy server may have a single server or multiple servers. Therefore, this combination is not mutually incompatible as suggested by applicant.